Assets and Liabilities of Erstwhile Chickmagalur District Board

353. Sri M. MALLAPPA (Birur).—

Will the Minister for Development be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the Assets and Liabilities apportioned between erstwhile District Boards and Taluk Boards in Chickmagalur District at the time of formation of taluk boards ;

(*b*) the basis for such apportionment of assets and Liabilities ;

(*c*) whether the value of the erstwhile District Board Building in Chickmagalur District has been apportioned among the Taluk Boards ;

(*d*) if so, the amount paid to each Taluk Board ?

Sri P. M. NADGOUDA (Minister for Development).—

(*a*) The Assets and Liabilities of erstwhile District Board have been apportioned among Taluk Boards of Chickmagalur District as per Government Order No. (1) LLH 33 BAD 60, Dated 4th August 1961. (2) LLH 33, BAD 60, Dated 5th June 1962. (3) DPC 37 BAD 67 Dated 11th September 1968. Copies of which are placed on the table of the House. (Copies placed in the Mysore Legislature Library).

(*b*) On population basis.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) The value of the building has been apportioned among the Taluk Board as detailed under :—

1.	Chickmagalure	...	12,760-00
2.	Mudigere	...	7,932-00
3.	Koppa	...	6,738-00
4.	N.R. Pura	...	2,852-00
5.	Sringeri	...	1,280-00
6.	Kadur	...	17,346-00
7.	Tarikere	...	11,090-00
	Total	...	60,000-00

Sri M. MALLAPPA.—What has happened to the building ? Who is in possession of it ?

† Sri P. M. NADGOUDA.—It is in possession of the President of the District Development Council.

Sri M. MALLAPPA.—The Government has nearly taken 10 years to apportion the assets and liabilities between the various Taluka Boards in the district. Has any final decision been taken or are any assets pending to be apportioned between the Taluk Boards ?

Sri P. M. NADGOUDA.—I may submit to the Hon. House that the whole matter has been finalised and in this deal this district gets about Rs. 1,40,000 including the cost of the District Board building. So, the amount will be adjusted accordingly by the Deputy Commissioner in consultation with the Accountant General of the State.

Sri M. MALLAPPA.—What has happened to Ajjampur and who was formerly in control of the District Board building ?

Sri P. M. NADGOUDA.—That is under the active consideration of the Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಪರಿಷತ್ತನ್ನು ಮತ್ತೆ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಆಕೆಯನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡರೆ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಪರಿಷತ್ತಿಗಾಗಿ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಬೋರ್ಡಿಗೆ ಇದ್ದಂತಹ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ಎಂ. ನಾಡಗೌಡ.—ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪರಿಷತ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು, ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉಪಯೋಗ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡಿ ಇಷ್ಟು ತಡಮಾಡಿದರು. ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಬೋರ್ಡಿನ ಅಸೆಟ್ಸ್ ಅಂಡ್ ಲಯಬಿಲಟೀಸ್‌ಅನ್ನು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಬ್ಯೂಟ್‌ಮಾಡಿ ಆ ಬಿಲ್ಡಿಂಗಿಗೆ ಮಾರ್ಕೆಟ್ ವ್ಯಾಲ್ಯೂಯೇಷನ್‌ಮಾಡಿ ಅವರು ಆ ದುಡ್ಡನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ನಮಗೆ ಕೊಡಿ ಎಂದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿಗೇ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.

Family Budget Survey

358. Sri M. S. KRISHNAN (Malleswaram).—

Will the Minister for Finance, Planning and Youth Welfare be pleased to state :—

the details of the report of the family budget survey for working class and middle-class separately conducted, if any, for the following centres for the purpose of determining consumer price index numbers;

(i) Bangalore —1955—56 and 1959.

(ii) Centres in Old Mysore, (Mysore, Davangere, Bhadravathi, Harihar, Hassan, Chitraduga) 1935—36 1959.

(iii) Mangalore ... 1944

(iv) Gulbarga ... 1944

(v) Bellary ... 1935

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Minister for Law, Labour and Parliamentary Affairs [On behalf of SRI RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Finance, Planning and Youth Welfare)]

Details of family budget surveys for working classes conducted during 1935-36, 1943-44 and 1958-59 are not available. No such survey was conducted for Bangalore Centre during 1955-56.